

# Specific points discussed (1)

- There is interest in adopting the EUROSPINE diploma programme and delivering it locally
  - Demand is there for recognition of the specialty
  - Standardisation of training
- With regards to eLearning, it may be interesting to gain in opportunity costs but participants emphasised the importance of the face to face component. A purely eLearning approach will not be acceptable for now.
  - The Hybrid EduWeek will be considered as an alternative delivery of the equivalence programme

## Specific points discussed (2)

---

- Various models could be adopted in running EUROSPINE diploma courses
  - Regional as in the Iberian Diploma (Spain and Portugal)
  - Interuniversity as in the SFCR (France)
  - Within the congress as in Turkish Spine Society
  - Various modules throughout the year as in DWG (Germany)

# Specific points discussed (3)

- Factors to be considered for readiness in joining the equivalence programme
  - How the neurosurgeon and orthopaedic surgeon is trained
  - Collaboration of the neurosurgeon and orthopaedic surgeon
  - Costs of running courses
  - Will of the spine society leadership

# Actions and strategy agreed(1)

- The spine society should adopt the learning outcomes of the EUROSPINE diploma programme as a minimum
  - Local specificities can then be added
- Step by step procedure in partnering with EUROSPINE for equivalence can be found at: <https://www.eurospine.org/overview---diploma-equivalence.htm>
- Presentations, templates and formats can be consulted as reference with a partner spine society

# Actions and strategy agreed(2)

- Tools and know-how can be shared by EUROSPINE to partner spine societies with open dialogue/communication channels with the EduCom Chair and EUROSPINE Staff
  - Logistics
  - Budget management
  - Faculty management
  - Practical issues
  - Workshops
  - Case-based discussions